





submits that amended claim 5 distinguishes over Blon for at least the same reasons presented above for claim 1. Blon does not disclose each and every element of claim 5 and, thus, does not anticipate claim 5. Claims 6-8 depend from claim 5, and Applicant submits that claims 6-8 are patentable over Blon for at least the same reasons as claim 5.

**Applicant requests withdrawal and reconsideration of the rejection.**

## CONCLUSION

Each and every point raised in the Office Action dated January 29, 2004 has been addressed on the basis of the above amendments and remarks. In view of the foregoing it is believed that claims 1-12 are in condition for allowance and it is respectfully requested that the application be reconsidered and that all pending claims be allowed and the case passed to issue.

If there are any other issues remaining which the Examiner believes could be resolved through a Supplemental Response or an Examiner's Amendment, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Dated: June 1, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

By Richard J. Katz  
Richard J. Katz

Registration No.: 47,698  
DARBY & DARBY P.C.  
P.O. Box 5257  
New York, New York 10150-5257  
(212) 527-7700  
(212) 753-6237 (Fax)  
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant